RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET	(R-2 Exhi	bit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	L999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program 1	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATION		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT	33.945	23.718	17.336	19.676	20.147	21.052	22.745	24.150	Cont	Cont
#1: User-Source Link	4.646	3.888	3.848	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	12.382
#2: Rule-based Decisions	2.226	2.293	2.089	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	6.608
#3: Material Acquisition: Electronics	4.257	4.985	5.229	6.013	6.196	6.386	6.386	6.357	Cont	12.374
#4: Advanced Logistics Support	2.901	3.789	3.848	1.836	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.596
#5: Advanced Technology Integrator	1.741	1.855	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.601
#6: Intelligent Demand Manager	0.000	0.000	1.424	1.975	1.989	2.145	2.192	2.234	Cont	Cont
#7: Computer to Computer Negotiations	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.339	3.204	3.264	3.151	2.251	Cont	Cont
#8: Pay Per Use Logistics System	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.465	2.385	2.413	2.492	1.967	Cont	Cont
#9: Aging Aircraft Sustainment Technology	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.074	4.383	4.830	5.200	5.443	Cont	Cont
#10: Virtual Reality Medical Assembly	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.974	1.990	2.014	2.050	2.093	Cont	Cont
#11: Future Logistics R&D Requirements	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.274	3.805	Cont	Cont
#12: On Demand Manufacturing/CATT	5.783	6.908	0.898	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	13.589
#13: Gulf Coast Maritime Center	2.884	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.884
#14: Defense MicroElectronics Activity	9.507	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	9.507

A. Mission Description & Budget Item Justification: The DoD logistics vision calls for providing flexible, cost effective and prompt materiel support, logistics information and services, achieving the leanest possible infrastructure and the employment of the best commercial and government sources and practices. The DLA Logistics R&D program will develop and demonstrate high risk, high payoff technology that will provide a significantly higher level of support at lower costs, than would be otherwise attainable. The DLA program is a key part of the DARPA/DLA Advanced Logistics Program. Focused Logistics is one of the five basic tenants of Joint Vision 2010. The DLA logistics R&D program contributes directly to achieving JV 2010's vision of logistics "support in hours or days versus weeks." The objective of the Advanced Logistics Program is to collaborative environment which will allow the Operations community (J3) and Logistics planning community (J4), TRANSCOM, and DLA to seamlessly interact on operations planning and execution of wartime operations. In addition, DLA will use the same system in peacetime to significantly reduce Logistics Response Time and reduce the cost of DLA operations while maintaining readiness.

RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)	DATE: FEBRUARY 1999
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:	Program Element:
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3	0603712S LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION

- #1 USER-SOURCE LINK: Effort links DoD parts consumers with suppliers, enabling users to decide on price, quality, packaging, quantity, and ordering. Effort will significantly reduce DLA's overhead and inventory costs as more direct vendor deliveries will be attainable. The program provided the technical infrastructure for the DoD EMALL.
- #2 RULE-BASED DECISIONS: Automates decision processes in buying, cataloging and item management that are strictly rule-based, to increase turnarounds and decreasing labor costs. First thrust concentrates on procurement activities, followed by item management and cataloging functions.
- #3 MATERIAL ACQUISITIONS: ELECTRONICS: Will fund continued enhancement of Generalized Emulation of Microcircuits effort and continue the Advanced Microcircuit Emulation (AME) which started in FY 97. Program reduces weapons system support costs by providing an alternative to circuit board redesigns and lifetime buys. To date, GEM has delivered 14,000 microcircuits of 140 different types to 31 different weapon systems.
- #4 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY LOGISTICS SUPPORT NETWORK (ATSN): Effort develops a total logistics approach to applying advanced decision supports to center's goals well into the next century. Emphasis on cost-effective resourcing for wartime needs, customer choices, and fast, predictable deliveries.
- #5 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATOR: Will demonstrate prototypes of new material handling and distribution equipment in DoD depots prior to full scale implementation. Targets are storage, distribution and receiving processes, incorporating automatic identification technologies.
- #6 INTELLIGENT DEMAND MANAGER: Will demonstrate improved wholesale supply availability that can be attained from real time tracking of spares consumption at the lowest level of the supply system by developing advanced data mining and data visualization technologies.
- #7 COMPUTER TO COMPUTER NEGOTIATIONS: Will reduce the time to negotiate, award, and modify contracts, to enable DLA and its suppliers to respond rapidly to changes in supply and demand in peace and war by allowing machines to reconcile selected differences between the government and suppliers.
- #8 PAY PER USE LOGISTICS SYSTEM: Will develop flexible, cost effective alternatives to software development that overcome the delays and expense associated with traditional logistics systems development.
- #9 AGING AIRCRAFT SUSTAINMENT TECHNOLOGY: Aging systems take progressively more time and money to maintain. This program develops, tests and transfers cost effective logistics support technologies on such systems as B-52, KC-135, and C-130 and other aircraft and related systems that remain in use well beyond their design life.

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)	DATE: FEBRUARY 1999
ADDRODDING ON (DVDGGG AGGGVVGGV	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:	Program Element:
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3	0603712S LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION

#10 VIRTUAL MEDICAL ASSEMBLY: Lower costs in assembly process, by allowing users to accurately visualize form, fit, function and utility before investing large sums of money to procure the assemblies.

#11 FUTURE LOGISTICS R&D REQUIREMENTS: These funds will accelerate the transition of technology to the DLA, so that dramatic improvements in supply support can be undertaken. The alternative is for the Agency to slowly follow in the footsteps of Commercial supply practices, rather than to be the leader in Logistics effectiveness and military readiness.

#12 ON DEMAND MANUFACTURING/CATT: This program has established a network of suppliers and technology for long lead time, difficult to procure, weapons systems spares. FY 00 is the final year of the program.

#13 GULF COAST MARITIME CENTER: Develop simulations based design systems.

#14 DEFENSE MICROELECTRONICS ACTIVITY: Addresses DoD microelectronics problems by redesigning or re-engineering printed wiring assemblies and higher level electronics subsystems.

B. Program Change Summary:

		COST IN M	ILLIONS	
	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01
President's Budget Submission:	21.554	17.788	18.210	18.594
Adjustment to Appropriated Value:	+12.391	+5.930	874	+1.082
Current Budget Submission:	33.945	23.718	17.336	19.676

Change Summary Explanation: FY 98 reflects +\$12.391 million for two DoD internal realignments from DARPA to DLA for Gulf Coast Maritime Center (+2.884) and the Defense Microelectronics Activity (+9.507). FY 99 net adjustment reflects a congressional add, +\$6 million for CATT and -\$70 thousand in congressional undistributed reductions. FY 00 and FY 01 relfect the net of re-scoped/new project(s) emphasis and inflation reductions.

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	L999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	RATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#1: USER-SOURCE LINK	4.646	3.888	3.848	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	12.382

### A. Mission Description and Justification

User-Source Link will dramatically change the current logistical system as it exists today. DLA will offer users choices on sourcing, packaging, quality levels and shipping that were previously decided by our Inventory Control Points. The user will also be able to place the order on a pre-negotiated price schedule established by DLA. This will be accomplished by linking the user of parts with the suppliers. The initial phase will involve linking users to suppliers through a set of query servers. This will eliminate the need for suppliers to continually provide product information updates to the Government. Instead, the query servers will go to the suppliers organic product databases and retrieve the information for the user. The final phase of this effort will involve the use of "Agents." Software agents will travel between suppliers catalogs retrieving the information requested by the user without the use of query servers.

This project is needed to provide the DoD's customers with the information they need to make an informed buying decision. It will enable DLA to significantly reduce its overhead costs which are ultimately passed on to our customers. More direct vendor deliveries will result from this link which will reduce inventories. The use of suppliers part data will reduce the need for establishing NSNs and other cataloging data. Post-acquisition support problems and the resources necessary to solve them will go down as the users can interactively make their specific requirements known.

(U) Program Accomplishments and Plans:

(U) FY 1998:

All DLA managed items will be visible and available to order by DLA customers regardless of whether the stock is held by DLA Depots or in private industry's finished goods inventory. The US Link technology was transferred into the production EMALL system which is being deployed DoD-Wide.

(U) FY 1999:

Will demonstrate capability to use XML business transactions.

(U) FY 2000:

Final development capability using highly distributed catalogs for EMALL.

(U) FY 2001: N/A

APPROPRIA'	TION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program 1	Element:						
	Eense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			_		ac Dep me	CIBIOT OCV	DEMONSTR	A TION		
KID&E, De.	Lense-wide/Budget Activity 3			0603/125	LOGISIIC	S KWD IE	CHNOLOGI	DEMONSIR	ATON		1
	COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#1: USER	-SOURCE LINK	4.646	3.888	3.848	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	12.382
B. Progra	am Change Summary:										
<b>_</b> -	<del> </del>					COST IN	MILLIONS				
				FY 98	В	FY 99	FY	00	FY 01		
President	's Budget Submission			4.64	6	3.900	3.9	00	0.000		
Adjustment	to Appropriated Value				_	012	0	52			
Current B	udget Submission			4.640	6	3.888	3.8	48	0.000		
					•						
Change Sur	mmary Explanation: N/A				·						
Change Sur C. Other No fur Relate	-	cam (PE ‡		; ARPA's A	Advanced	Logistic			nd privat	e indust	cry.
Change Sur C. Other No fur Relate	nmary Explanation: N/A  Program Funding Summary:  nding dependencies on other production of the production of the programs: ARPA's Fast programs:	cam (PE ‡		; ARPA's A	Advanced and Navy/	Logistic		sites, an	nd privat	e indust	cry.
Change Sur C. Other No fur Relate	nmary Explanation: N/A  Program Funding Summary:  nding dependencies on other production of the production of the programs: ARPA's Fast programs:	cam (PE ‡		; ARPA's A	Advanced and Navy/	Logistic	customer	sites, an	nd privat	e indust	cry.
Change Sur C. Other No fur Relate	mmary Explanation: N/A  Program Funding Summary: Inding dependencies on other program programs: ARPA's Fast prograte Profile: NK will test links among DLA In	cam (PE ‡		; ARPA's A Points a FY 98	Advanced and Navy/	Logistic Army/AF (	customer FY	sites, an	nd privat	e indust	cry.
Change Sur C. Other No fur Relate D. Schede US LI	mmary Explanation: N/A  Program Funding Summary: Inding dependencies on other program programs: ARPA's Fast prograte Profile: NK will test links among DLA In  Quarters	ram (PE ‡	Control	; ARPA's A Points a FY 98 1234	Advanced and Navy/	Logistic Army/AF (	customer FY	sites, an	nd privat	e indust	cry.
Change Sur C. Other No fur Relate D. Schede US LI	mmary Explanation: N/A  Program Funding Summary: Inding dependencies on other program programs: ARPA's Fast prograte Profile: IK will test links among DLA In  Quarters Add Vendors/DLA Items	ram (PE ‡	Control	; ARPA's A Points a FY 98 1234 X	Advanced and Navy/	Logistic Army/AF (	customer FY	sites, an	nd privat	e indust	cry.
Change Sur C. Other No fur Relate D. Schedu US LII Phase I: Phase I: Phase I:	mmary Explanation: N/A  Program Funding Summary: Inding dependencies on other programs: ARPA's Fast prograte Profile: NK will test links among DLA In  Quarters Add Vendors/DLA Items Continue Query—server softwar DLA beta-test initial demo	ram (PE #	Control	; ARPA's A Points a FY 98 1234 X X	Advanced and Navy/	Logistic Army/AF (	customer FY 123	sites, an	nd privat	e indust	cry.
Change Sur C. Other No fur Relate D. Schedu US LII Phase I: Phase I: Phase I: Phase II:	mmary Explanation: N/A  Program Funding Summary: Inding dependencies on other program and programs: ARPA's Fast prograte Profile: INK will test links among DLA In  Quarters Add Vendors/DLA Items Continue Query—server softwar DLA beta-test initial demo Army/Navy/AF/USMC beta-test of	ram (PE # nventory re develo	Control	Points a  FY 98 1234 X X XXXX	Advanced and Navy/	Logistic Army/AF (	customer FY	sites, an	nd privat	e indust	cry.
Change Sur C. Other No fur Relate D. Schedu US LII Phase I: Phase I: Phase II: Phase II: Phase II:	Program Funding Summary: Inding dependencies on other program and programs: ARPA's Fast programs: ARPA's Fast programs: Ile Profile: INK will test links among DLA In  Quarters Add Vendors/DLA Items Continue Query—server softwar DLA beta-test initial demo Army/Navy/AF/USMC beta-test of Agent Development Solicitation	ram (PE # nventory re develo	Control	Points a  FY 98 1234 X X XXXX	Advanced and Navy/	Logistic Army/AF of FY 99 1234	customer FY 123	sites, an	nd privat	e indust	cry.
Change Sur C. Other No fur Relate D. Schedu US LII Phase I: Phase I: Phase II: Phase II: Phase II:	Program Funding Summary: Inding dependencies on other program and programs: ARPA's Fast programs: ARPA's Fast programs Ile Profile: INK will test links among DLA In  Quarters Add Vendors/DLA Items Continue Query—server softwar DLA beta-test initial demo Army/Navy/AF/USMC beta-test of Agent Development Solicitation	ram (PE # nventory re develo	Control	Points a  FY 98 1234 X X XXXX	Advanced and Navy/	Logistic Army/AF of FY 99 1234	customer FY 123	sites, an	nd privat	e indust	cry.
Change Sur C. Other No fur Relate D. Schedu US LII Phase I: Phase I: Phase II: Phase II: Phase II:	Program Funding Summary: Inding dependencies on other program and programs: ARPA's Fast programs: ARPA's Fast programs Ile Profile: INK will test links among DLA In  Quarters Add Vendors/DLA Items Continue Query—server softwar DLA beta-test initial demo Army/Navy/AF/USMC beta-test of Agent Development Solicitation Agent Beta Testing XML Demonstrations	ram (PE # nventory re develo demo on & Awai	Control opment	Points a  FY 98 1234 X X XXXX	Advanced and Navy/	Logistic Army/AF of FY 99 1234  X	customer FY 123	sites, an 00 4	nd privat	e indust	ry.

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	L999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program :	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	RATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#2: AUTOMATE RULE-BASED DECISIONS	2.226	2.293	2.089	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	6.608

### A. Mission Description and Justification

The system being developed under the Automated Rule Based Decision thrust is called DELTA. The DELTA system shall improve DLA's business practices by enabling the DLA to move away from its current business practice of procuring items one requisition at a time (usually as the DLA customers' needs arise). This will be accomplished by:

- 1. Creation, maintenance, and utilization of an electronic portfolio of best EDI/EC business practices and their related long term arrangements with suppliers.
- 2. Enabling the negotiating long term flexible business arrangements ahead of time with leading industry suppliers and third party supply chain management logistician.
- 4. Allowing customers to execute purchasing actions interactively against these arrangements.
- 5. Electronically executing purchasing actions against such arrangements, without human interaction, based on electronically stored source selection rules about customer preferences.
- 6. Utilizing cutting edge technology (including: knowledge acquisition; expert systems; case based reasoning; natural language processing; CORBA information agents, mediators and sentinels) to accomplish the above.
- (U) Program Accomplishments and Plans:
- (U) FY 1998:

Developed the Single user registration system which was first implemented in the DLA Electronic Mall.

Developed the Modular Order Management system which was first implemented in the DLA Electronic Mall.

Processing bulk requirements that are mechanically generated by the Service supply systems against the same commercial sources of supply available to the EMALL, in a non-interactive mode (without human intervention of any kind).

DELTA will be the first prototype of the DARPA cluster architecture, which is the highly distributed agent based architecture being developed under the Advanced Logistics Program.

#### (U) FY 1999:

Processing bulk requirements that are mechanically generated by the Service supply systems against government owned inventory, bypassing the need for legacy system processing of customer requirements that are to be filled from DLA Depots.

### **FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW**

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2a Exhibit) DATE: FEBRUARY 1999 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY: Program Element: RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3 0603712S LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATON COST (MILLIONS) FY 98 FY 99 FY 00 FY 01 FY 02 FY 03 FY 04 FY 05 COST TOTAL TO COMP #2: AUTOMATE RULE-BASED DECISIONS 2.226 2.293 2.089 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 6.608

- (U) Program Accomplishments and Plans (con't):
- (U) FY 2000:

Integration of best of commercial practices in Supply Chain Management into the DLA operational business processes.

COST IN MILLIONS

- (U) FY 2001: N/A
- B. Program Change Summary:

	CODI 111 11	TTTT - 0110	
FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01
2.226	2.300	1.900	0.000
	-0.007	+.189	
2.226	2.293	2.089	0.000
	2.226	FY 98 FY 99 2.226 2.3000.007	2.226 2.300 1.900 0.007 +.189

Change Summary Explanation: FY 00 reflects added scope of effort.

C. Other Program Funding Summary:

No funding dependencies on other programs.

Related programs: ARPA's Intelligent Integration of Information (I-3) program (PE #62301E) Knowledge Sharing Initiative.

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program	Flement						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			_		שת תום מר	CUNOT OCV	DEMONSTR	λ TrΩNI		
RID&E, Delense-wide/Budget Activity 3			0603/125	LOGISIIC	S K&D IE	CHNOLOGI	DEMONSIR	AION		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#2: AUTOMATE RULE-BASED DECISIONS	2.226	2.293	2.089	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	6.608
D. Schedule Profile:										
D. Schedule Profile:			FY 9	8	FY 99	FY	00	FY 01		
QUARTERS			1234		1234	123		1234		
Bulk Requirement processing (commercia		•	XXXX							
Bulk Requirements processing (governme			vvvv		XXXX					
Requirements assessment of Best Commer Component development of Best Commerci			XXXX		xxxx					
Testing and development of Best Commer						xxx				
Prototype delivery								X		

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	L999						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#3: MATERIAL ACQUISITION: ELECTRONICS	4.257	4.985	5.229	6.013	6.196	6.386	6.386	6.357	Cont	Cont

#### A. Mission Description and Justification

Develop a capability to emulate most obsolete digital integrated circuits (ICs) in the federal catalog using a single, flexible manufacturing line. DoD has estimated that \$2.9B is spent every five years in redesigning circuit card assemblies. Much of these redesigns are driven by IC obsolescence. The commercial suppliers of ICs typically terminate production lines every 3 years or less, moving on to the next generation of ICs. Because DoD maintains weapons systems much longer than 3 years, this creates an obsolescence problem that can only be overcome through buying excessive inventories of parts before the production lines close or redesigning the next higher assembly to eliminate the obsolete part. DLA, as the manager of over 80% of the IC supply class, must have a capability to manufacture these devices. This project will develop this capability and expand it to succeeding generations of obsolete ICs through the Advanced Microcircuit Emulation program.

#### (U) Program Achievements and Plans:

#### (U) FY 1998:

Development and demonstration of emulated microcircuits needed for the following systems: F-14, F-15, F-16, F-18, JTIDS, UYK-43, UYK-44, SPACE SHUTTLE, TRIDENT, BSY-2, AWACS, CG-47, DSCC(various users). Delivered or in-processed 100 additional IC types. Approximately 10,000 additional parts delivered. Developed four early microprocessors, inserted a microprocessor into JSTARS. Successfully produced first 50K gate emulation wafers. Designed advance geometry emulation array. Designed high voltage emulation process. Also developing technology for ASICs, LSI, VLSI, and Analog devices.

(U) FY 1999:

Develop and demonstrate ASIC and Generalized Microprocessor Emulations. Continual cost reduction for ASIC emulation. (U) FY 2000:

Develop and demonstrate 100K ASIC with 128K memory. Continual cost reduction for ASIC emulation.

#### (U) FY 2001:

Develop and demonstrate Advanced Fabrication Processes and 200K Gate Array Capability. Continual cost reduction for ASIC emulation.

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program I	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#3: MATERIAL ACQUISITION: ELECTRONICS	4.257	4.985	5.229	6.013	6.196	6.386	6.386	6.357	Cont	Cont
B. Program Change Summary:				COST I	N MILLIO	NS				
			FY 98	R	FY 99	FY	00	FY 01		
President's Budget Submission			4.25		5.000	5.5		6.100		
Adjustment to Appropriated Value					015	2		087		
Current Budget Submission			4.25	7	4.985	5.2		6.013		
and outyears reflect revised indices.	_		w thresho	ld progra	am adjust	ments an	d an infl	lation re	duction.	FY 0:
and outyears reflect revised indices.  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No factor of the AME Program of the function "drop-in" replacement for the Microcircuit (GEM) Production Program and	funding of will elimond old micr	dependenc minate the	w thresho	ld prograther prograte o redesignment t	am adjust grams. N gn in man echnolog	ments and related by cases of the G	d an infl d program by produceneralize	lation rense.  Sing a found the second control of the second contr	rm, fit, ionof	and
and outyears reflect revised indices.  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No factor of the AME Program of the function "drop-in" replacement for the Microcircuit (GEM) Production Program and	funding of will elimond old micr	dependenc minate the	w thresho	ld prograther progration oredesicurrent to built	am adjust grams. N gn in man echnolog in the 1	ments and related by cases for the G	d an infl d program by productions. The A	lation remains.  Cing a found the second the	rm, fit, ionof	and
and outyears reflect revised indices.  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No factor of the Program of the AME Program of the Control of the Co	funding of will elimond old micr	dependenc minate the	w thresho	ld prograther progration or redesignment to built	am adjust grams. N gn in mar echnolog in the 1:	ments and related by cases for the Green G	d an infl d program by productions. The A	lation remains.  Cing a found the desired for	rm, fit, ionof	and
and outyears reflect revised indices.  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No factor of the Program of the AME Program of the Control of the Co	funding of will elimond old micr	dependenc minate the	w thresho	ld prograther progration or redesignment to built	am adjust grams. N gn in man echnolog in the 1	ments and related by cases for the G	d an infl d program by productions. The A	lation remains.  Cing a found the second the	rm, fit, ionof	and
and outyears reflect revised indices.  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No factor of the Program of the AME Program of the Control of the Co	funding of will elimond old micr	dependenc minate the	w thresho	ld prograther progration or redesignment to built	am adjust grams. N gn in mar echnolog in the 1:	ments and related by cases for the Green G	d an infl d program by productions. The A	lation remains.  Cing a found the desired for	rm, fit, ionof	and
and outyears reflect revised indices.  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No factor of the Program of the AME Program of the Control of the Co	funding of will elimond old micr	dependenc minate the	w thresho	ld prograther progration or redesignment to built	am adjust grams. N gn in mar echnolog in the 1:	ments and related by cases for the Green G	d an infl d program by productions. The A	lation remains.  Cing a found the desired for	rm, fit, ionof	and
On ther Program Funding Summary: No formation "drop-in" replacement for the Microcircuit (GEM) Production Program and 1980s and early 1990s devices.  Quarters  AME Baseline Initiate ASIC characterization process Demonstrate High Speed	funding of will elimond old micr	dependenc minate the	w thresho	ld prograther progration or redesignment to built	am adjust grams. N gn in mar echnolog in the 1:	ments and related by cases for the Green G	d an infl d program by productions. The A	lation remains.  Cing a found the desired for	rm, fit, ionof	and
and outyears reflect revised indices.  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No form.  D. Schedule Profile: The AME Program of function "drop-in" replacement for the of Microcircuit (GEM) Production Program and 1980s and early 1990s devices.  Quarters  AME Baseline Initiate ASIC characterization process Demonstrate High Speed Demonstrate High Voltage	funding of will elimond old micr	dependenc minate the	w thresho	ld prograther progration or redesignment to built	am adjust grams. N gn in mar echnolog in the 1:	ments and related by cases for the Green G	d an infl d program by productions. The A	lation remains.  Cing a found the desired for	rm, fit, ionof	and
and outyears reflect revised indices.  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No factor of the Program of the AME Program of the Control of the Co	funding of will eliminate old micresses	dependence the dependence the mics	w thresho	ld prograther progration or redesignment to built	grams. Agn in marechnologin the 1:	ments and related by cases for the Green G	d an infl d program by productions. The A	lation remains.  Cing a found the desired for	rm, fit, ionof	and
and outyears reflect revised indices.  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No in the Control of the Program of the Control of th	funding of will eliminate old micresses	dependence the dependence the mics	w thresho	ld prograther progration or redesignment to built	grams. In grams. In grams and in man echnology in the 1:  FY 99 1234	ments and related by cases for the Green G	d an infl d program by productions. The A	lation remains.  Cing a found the desired for	rm, fit, ionof	and
and outyears reflect revised indices.  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No in the Control of the Program of the Control of th	funding of will elimold microddresses	dependence the dependence the mics	w thresho	ld prograther progration or redesignment to built	grams. In grams. In grams. In the 1:  FY 99 1234	To related by cases for the Green's The Green's FY 123	d an infl d program by productions. The A	lation remains.  Cing a found the desired for	rm, fit, ionof	and
Change Summary Explanation: FY 00 reflect and outyears reflect revised indices.  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No in the Control of the C	funding of will elimold microddresses	dependence the dependence the mics	w thresho	ld prograther progration of redesignment to the second sec	grams. In grams. In grams. In the 1:  FY 99 1234	To related by cases for the Green's The Green's FY 123	d an infl d program by productions. The A	as.  cing a fo ed Emulat  AME Progr  FY 01 1234	rm, fit, ionof	and

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	L999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program :	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#4: ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY LOGISTICS SUPPORT NETWORK	2.901	3.789	3.848	1.836	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	12.374

### A. Mission Description and Justification

Advanced Technology Logistics Support Network initiative will reduce DoD inventory requirements by substituting immediate access to commercial sector inventories for stocks held in DoD warehouses. Its objectives include creating a virtual inventory by tapping into worldwide commercial inventories; providing a full array of leveraged prices; providing a variety of delivery methods; providing graphics and on line help which will allow customers to fully explore an item's specifications, warranty and past performance; and creating a seamless catalog which integrates commercial catalog data with DLA negotiated prices. The program proposal seeks to allow DoD customers to conduct business on the Internet; utilize application scanners to remove the barriers of software language; link databases across government and industry via hyperlink technologies; and finally use hypertext markup language to merge government database information onto the Internet.

The ATSN program has far reaching applicability in allowing DLA and its customers to fully capitalize on the logistics related information technology advancements currently available. The program will bring this advanced technology to both peacetime customer support and mobilization support. These new technologies are critical elements to the achievement of DLA's programmed outyear savings in conjunction with implementation of reengineering initiatives and acquisition reform.

- (U) Program Accomplishments and Plans:
- (U) FY 1998:

Establish models for readiness based industrial inventories. Develop capability to estimate industrial capability to support emergency needs for medical items.

- (U) FY 1999: Expand coverage and readiness models to other commodities.
- (U) FY 2000: Continue expanded coverage and readiness models to other commodities.
- (U) FY 2001: Continue expanded coverage and readiness models to other commodities.

			Program 1	Element:						
TD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	S R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
.,										
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
4: ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY LOGISTICS										
SUPPORT NETWORK	2.901	3.789	3.848	1.836	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	12.37
. Program Change Summary:										
gg					COST IN	MILLIONS				
			FY 9	8	FY 99	FY	00	FY 01		
resident's Budget Submission			2.90		3.800	3.9		1.900		
djustment to Appropriated Value					011	0	-	064		
urrent Budget Submission			2.90	1	3.789	3.8	48	1.836		
hange Summary Explanation: FYs 00 ar  Other Program Funding Summary: No funding dependencies on other parts of the parts o	programs.	-					(PE #623	01E) pro	gram.	
. Schedule Profile: DLA's Defense I	Personnel	Supply Ce	enter (DP	SC) will	manage t	he ATSN	program.	Will im	plement	
ommunications network developed under										Erom 50
ommunications network developed under		sale), or	n-line re	quisitio	n status,	and low	er unit p	rices.		
o 3%, reduced inventories (both retain	rr « muore							FY 01		
	ri & wnoie		FY 9	8	FY 99	FY	00	FI UL		
	ri & whoie		FY 9: 1234		FY 99 1234	FY 123		1234		
o 3%, reduced inventories (both reta	ri & wnoie		_							
o 3%, reduced inventories (both retain Quarters	II & WNOIE		1234							
o 3%, reduced inventories (both retain Quarters rocess integration	II & WNOIE		1234		1234		4			
o 3%, reduced inventories (both retain of the control of the contr	II & Whole		1234		1234	123	4	1234		

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	1999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program :	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	RATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#5: ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATOR	1.741	1.855	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.596

#### A. Mission Description and Justification:

The DoD has pursued material handling and distribution technologies in the past by identifying promising commercial technologies and installing them in our depots, many times in the absence of quantifiable benefits. This has resulted in identified challenges concerning realistic benefits, system interoperability, and resource/personnel capability. The Advanced Technology Integrator will eliminate these problems by providing a "try before you fly" capability where equipment can be simulated in a live depot environment prior to full-scale implementation. A demonstration center would be created. Tasks would be executed by the center in order to fully evaluate promising technologies or new concepts.

The impact of the Advanced Technology Integrator would be lower depot overhead costs associated with the receiving, storage, and issuing processes.

- (U) Program Achievements and Plans:
- (U) FY 1998:

Examined feasibility of PC based routing algorithms. Studied radio tag technology for inventory accounting. Developed direct link between depot material release orders and EMALL.

Established feasibility of Depot based kitting.

(U) FY 1999:

Begin data mining activity for advanced supply centers and depot operations.

- (U) FY 2000: N/A
- (U) FY 2001: N/A

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program :	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#5: ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATOR	1.741	1.855	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.59
B. Program Change Summary:					COST IN	MILLIONS				
			FY 9	8	FY 99	FY	00	FY 01		
President's Budget Submission			1.74	1	1.860	2.1	.00	2.500		
Adjustment to Appropriated Value					005	-2.1		-2.500		
Current Budget Submission			1.74	1	1.855	0.0	00	0.000		
Change Summary Explanation: Funding r	eallocate	d to acc	ommodate	higher p	riority R	&D requi	rements.			
C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding dependencies on other p	rograms.									
D. Schedule Profile: The Advanced Te commercial technology prior to acquisi handling and automatic identification	tion and	full sca	le implem	mentation	. ATI wi	ll foste	r the adv	vancement		
			FY 9	8	FY 99	FY	00	FY 01		
Quarters			1234		1234	123	4	1234		
	nota		xx							
Direct material release orders from De EMALL kitting	pocs		2121							

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	L999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#6: INTELLIGENT DEMAND MANAGER	0.000	0.000	1.424	1.975	1.989	2.145	2.192	2.234	Cont	Cont

#### A. Mission Description and Justification

The use of artificial intelligence for managing items--has been explored in the past, but changes in information technology environment and data availability could significantly increase the potential to better manage items and anticipate demands from customers. This will most likely have a significant benefit for the management of Numerical Stock Objective items.

- (U) Program Accomplishments and Plans:
- (U) FY 1998: N/A
- (U) FY 1999: N/A
- (U) FY 2000:

Analysis tools--Starlight and Data Mining--how can we exploit these technologies to identify relationships that can be used to more accurately project demand--especially on new systems entering the inventory or on proven systems where unforecasted demand may occur due to aging weapon systems. This will require the use of simulation models such as PARIS to evaluate alternate scenarios, cost trade-offs, and inventory management policy decisions.

(U) FY 2001:

Distribution resource planning will exploit total asset visibility to make inventory a scheduling problem for replenishment type items. It will be used in commercial applications for high volume/recurring demand items. It anticipates and takes proactive action before demands actually occur.

Assessment--joint action with the Services to use their multi-echelon, multi-indenture models to project consumable requirements, develop availability curves, determine funding requirements, and project wartime/peacetime demands. Information could "feed" ICIS instead of DLA attempting to develop its own set of models.

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity	3		_		S R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#6: INTELLIGENT DEMAND MANAGER	0.000	0.000	1.424	1.975	1.989	2.145	2.192	2.234	Cont	Cont
B. Program Change Summary:					COST IN	MTI.I.TONS				
President's Budget Submission Adjustment to Appropriated Value Current Budget Submission			FY 9 0.00 0.00	0	FY 99 0.000	FY 0.0 +1.4	00 00 124	FY 01 0.000 +1.975 1.975		
Change Summary Explanation: New pro	ject.									
C. Other Program Funding Summary: N	To funding	dependenc	ies.							
D. Schedule Profile: Quarters Formulate the BAA announcement Open the BAA Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development			FY 9 1234		FY 99 1234 XX XX	FY 123 X X	4	FY 01 1234		
Prototype development						XXX		xxxx		

### **FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW**

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	L999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY: RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			Program 0603712S		CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#7: COMPUTER TO COMPUTER NEGOTIATIONS	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.339	3.204	3.264	3.151	2.251	Cont	Cont

### A. Mission Description and Justification

Long lead times for establishing long-term logistics support contracts do notallow DLA business managers to react to rapidly changing requirements in supply change management. The purpose of this project is to use knowledge base, rule base, and intelligent work flow technologies to enable computers to duplicate the decision making process of humans when negotiating and executing contracts. This will reduce the lead-time required to establish these contracts and contribute to a paperless environment.

- (U) Program Accomplishments and Plans:
- (U) FY 1998: N/A
- (U) FY 1999: N/A
- (U) FY 2000: N/A
- (U) FY 2001: Phase I initial identification areas for application/integration of knowledge base, rule base, and intelligent work flow technologies.
- B. Program Change Summary:

	FY 98	FY 99	FY 99	FY 01
President's Budget Submission	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Adjustment to Appropriated Value				+2.339
Current Budget Submission	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.339

Change Summary Explanation: New project per Agency TOA re-distribution.

C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding dependencies.

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY I	1999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#7: COMPUTER TO COMPUTER NEGOTIATIONS	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.339	3.204	3.264	3.151	2.251	Cont	Cont
D. Schedule Profile:			F372 . O	0	F37 00	T372	00	T37 01		
Quarters Formulate the BAA announcement			FY 9 1234		FY 99 1234	FY 123 XX	34	FY 01 1234		
Open the BAA Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development Prototype Development						х	СХ	x xxx xxxx		

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	L999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY: RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			Program 0603712S		CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	RATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#8: PAY PER USE LOGISTICS SYSTEM	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.465	2.385	2.413	2.492	1.967	Cont	Cont

### A. Mission Description and Justification

Current DoD computer systems are large, inflexible, difficult to maintain and seemingly impossible to keep current with emerging technology. For example, the supply system still uses 80 card column transaction sets based on 40 year old technology. One cause of this stagnation is that these systems are monolithic programs that have evolved over time to meet changing needs. Modernization of these systems has been hindered by the high cost to modernize and the fact that much of the functionality is not well documented or understood.

Emergence of network computing holds the promise of providing the flexibility and modularity needed to incrementally modernize DoD logistics systems and simultaneously provide an opportunity for a radical change in the way computer operations are financed. The Pay Per Use program objective is to demonstrate the costs and flexibility advantages of large scale, highly distributed networks in addressing not only the technical problem associated with logistics systems modernization, but also the cost advantages of designing a system based on the concept of "Pay per Use". Pay per Use means that the functional organization using a computer system pays a fixed rate only for actual use of the system. This approach is analogous to the emerging acquisition strategy of "power by the hour", where the Air Force, rather than buying and owning jet engines are paying a set rate per hour for engine use. Similarly, Pay Per Use program users would only be charged for the time that the functional application was actually being used. Ideally, the end user would have choice among different COTS yendors for the same application.

- (U) Program Accomplishments and Plans:
- (U) FY 1998: N/A
- (U) FY 1999: N/A
- (U) FY 2000: N/A
- (U) FY 2001: Initial awards will be made for concept studies. The concepts will be evaluated and prototypes will begin to be developed.

COST (MILLIONS)  #8: PAY PER USE LOGISTICS SYSTEM  D.OC  B. Program Change Summary:  President's Budget Submission Adjustment to Appropriated Value Current Budget Submission  Change Summary Explanation: New project per I  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding  D. Schedule Profile:  Quarters  Formulate the BAA announcement Open the BAA Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development Prototype development	POM TOA Ago	FY 00 0.000 FY 9 0.00 0.00	FY 01  1.465  8 0 0 listribut.	FY 02  2.385  COST IN FY 99 0.000 0.000	FY 03  2.413  MILLIONS  FY  0.0	00	FY 05  1.967  FY 01 0.000 +1.465 1.465	COST TO COMP Cont	TOTAL
#8: PAY PER USE LOGISTICS SYSTEM 0.00  B. Program Change Summary:  President's Budget Submission  Adjustment to Appropriated Value  Current Budget Submission  Change Summary Explanation: New project per I  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding  D. Schedule Profile:  Quarters  Formulate the BAA announcement  Open the BAA  Awards for concept studies  Awards for prototype development	POM TOA Ago	0.000 FY 9 0.00 0.00 ency re-d	1.465 8 0 0 listribut	2.385  COST IN FY 99 0.000 0.000 ion.	2.413 MILLIONS FY 0.0	2.492 00 00	1.967 FY 01 0.000 +1.465	TO COMP	
B. Program Change Summary:  President's Budget Submission Adjustment to Appropriated Value Current Budget Submission  Change Summary Explanation: New project per I C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding D. Schedule Profile:  Quarters Formulate the BAA announcement Open the BAA Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development	POM TOA Ago	FY 9 0.00 0.00 ency re-d	8 0 0 listribut	COST IN FY 99 0.000 0.000	MILLIONS FY 0.0	00	FY 01 0.000 +1.465	Cont	Cont
President's Budget Submission Adjustment to Appropriated Value Current Budget Submission Change Summary Explanation: New project per I C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding D. Schedule Profile:  Quarters Formulate the BAA announcement Open the BAA Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development		0.00 0.00 ency re-d cies. FY 9	0 0 listribut 8	FY 99 0.000 0.000 ion.	FY 0.0	00	0.000 +1.465		
Adjustment to Appropriated Value Current Budget Submission Change Summary Explanation: New project per I C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding D. Schedule Profile: Quarters Formulate the BAA announcement Open the BAA Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development		0.00 0.00 ency re-d cies. FY 9	0 0 listribut 8	FY 99 0.000 0.000 ion.	FY 0.0	00	0.000 +1.465		
Adjustment to Appropriated Value Current Budget Submission Change Summary Explanation: New project per I C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding D. Schedule Profile: Quarters Formulate the BAA announcement Open the BAA Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development		0.00 0.00 ency re-d cies. FY 9	0 0 listribut 8	0.000 0.000 ion.	0.0	00	0.000 +1.465		
Adjustment to Appropriated Value Current Budget Submission Change Summary Explanation: New project per I C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding D. Schedule Profile: Quarters Formulate the BAA announcement Open the BAA Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development		0.00 ency re-d cies. FY 9	0 listribut 8	0.000 ion.	0.0		+1.465		
Current Budget Submission  Change Summary Explanation: New project per I  C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding  D. Schedule Profile:  Quarters  Formulate the BAA announcement  Open the BAA  Awards for concept studies  Awards for prototype development		ency re-d cies. FY 9	listribut	ion.		00			
Change Summary Explanation: New project per I C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding D. Schedule Profile:		ency re-d cies. FY 9	listribut	ion.		00	1.405		
C. Other Program Funding Summary: No funding D. Schedule Profile:		cies. FY 9	8						
D. Schedule Profile:  Quarters  Formulate the BAA announcement  Open the BAA  Awards for concept studies  Awards for prototype development	ng dependend	FY 9		FY 99					
Quarters Formulate the BAA announcement Open the BAA Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development		_		FY 99					
Formulate the BAA announcement Open the BAA Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development		1234			FY	00	FY 01		
Open the BAA Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development				1234	123	4	1234		
Awards for concept studies Awards for prototype development					XX				
Awards for prototype development					X	X			
							X		
Prototype development							XXX		
							XXX		

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	1999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program :	Element:		•				
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	RATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#9: AGING AIRCRAFT SUSTAINMENT TECH.	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.074	4.383	4.830	5.200	5.443	Cont	Cont

#### A. Mission Description and Justification:

Weapon systems, particularly aircraft, are staying in the inventory much longer than originally anticipated. For example, the KC-135 had a 40 year design life and is now planning to stay in service for 86 years. Similar life extensions also apply to the B-52 and the C-130. The result is often aircraft parts that were never planned to be replaced have to be procured and placed on the airplane. Unfortunately, the technical data, manufacturing processes and supplier base that originally provided these items are no longer available. These circumstances lead to unacceptably long logistics response times and increased costs.

A completely new strategy is needed to address this problem. It must encompass not only the design associated with reengineering the item but also manufacturing techniques that can produce very low quantity items in a cost effective manner. A partnership among the DoD, manufacturing industries and academia has proven most effective in addressing the problem. Past models have shown that lead-times can be reduced from 273 days to 97 days for complex parts, new suppliers can be added to the base and costs significantly reduced.

- (U) Program Accomplishments and Plans:
- (U) FY 1998: N/A
- (U) FY 1999: N/A
- (U) FY 2000: N/A
- (U) FY 2001: Based on preliminary studies the technologies needed to sustain aircraft that are in service longer than their design life will be identified. Development of better ways of sustainment will be started.

ADDRODD TAMEON (DVDGDM AGMITYTMY			D	<del></del>						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program :		70 Deb mm	arnioi oari	DEMONSED:	3 most		
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712S	LOGISTIC	S R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		1
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#9: AGING AIRCRAFT SUSTAINMENT TECH.	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.074	4.383	4.830	5.200	5.443	Cont	Cont
B. Program Change Summary:										
					COST IN	MILLIONS				
			FY 9	8	FY 99	FY	00	FY 01		
President's Budget Submission			0.00	0	0.000	0.0		0.000		
Adjustment to Appropriated Value						+4.0		+4.383		
Current Budget Submission			0.00	0	0.000	4.0	74	4.383		
Change Summary Explanation: New project	et.									
C. Other Program Funding Summary: No	funding	dependenc	eies.							
D. Schedule Profile:										
			FY 9		FY 99	FY		FY 01		
Quarters			1234		1234	123	4	1234		
Formulate BAA Announcement						х				
Open BAA						x	x	XXXX		
Awards for concept development								x		
Awards for prototype development								XXXX		
Prototype Development								XXXX		

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	1999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program :	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	RATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#10: VIRTUAL REALITY MEDICAL ASSEMBLY	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.974	1.990	2.014	2.050	2.093	Cont	Cont

#### A. Mission Description and Justification:

Defense Supply Center, Philadelphia (DSCP) has the responsibility to procure Medical Assemblies for the Services. These Medical Assemblies are complex in nature and change frequently to accommodate new types of form, fit, function, and utility. This program will attempt to utilize virtual reality technology to reduce lead times, to reduce the logistics footprint, and to reduce overall assembly life-cycle costs.

DSCP will begin the effort in the FY 01 timeframe. During FY 01, Joint Application Development (JAD) sessions will be held to formalize requirements. Market analysis will be performed to identify the most appropriate virtual reality technology to employ, and detailed system specifications will be created. In FY 02, a prototype of first-aid kits will be developed. In addition, formal requirements will be developed for a more complex medical assembly. In FY 03, the first-aid kit assembly will be made ready for a production environment, the more complex medical assembly will be prototyped, and commercial data interfaces will be established. In FY 04, DSCP will prototype an entire field hospital assembly and will look to apply the technology to other processes within DLA. In FY 05, DSCP plans forfull scale production and demonstrations.

- (U) Program Accomplishments and Plans:
- (U) FY 1998: N/A
- (U) FY 1999: N/A
- (U) FY 2000: N/A
- (U) FY 2001: The studies for Virtual Medical Assembly will be awarded and prototypes will begin to be developed.

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			_		CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#10: VIRTUAL REALITY MEDICAL ASSEMBLY	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.974	1.990	2.014	2.050	2.093	Cont	Cont
B. Program Change Summary: N/A										
					COST IN	MILLIONS				
			FY 9		FY 99	FY	00	FY 01		
President's Budget Submission			0.00	0	0.000	0.0		0.000		
Adjustment to Appropriated Value						+1.9		+1.990		
Current Budget Submission			0.00	0	0.0000	1.9	74	1.990		
Change Summary Explanation: New projec	t.									
C. Other Program Funding Summary: No	funding (	dependenc	eies.							
D. Schedule Profile:			FY 9		FY 99	FY		FY 01		
Quarters			1234		1234	123	4	1234		
Formulate the BAA announcement						XX				
Open the BAA						х	X			
Awards for concept studies								X		
Awards for prototype development Prototype development								XXX XXXX		
Prototype development								XXXX		

### **FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW**

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2a Exhibit) DATE: FEBRUARY 1999 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY: Program Element: RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3 0603712S LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATON COST (MILLIONS) FY 98 FY 99 FY 00 FY 01 FY 02 FY 03 FY 04 FY 05 COST TOTAL TO COMP #11: FUTURE LOGISTICS R&D REQUIREMENTS 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.274 3.805 Cont Cont

#### A. Mission Description and Justification:

These funds will be used for high risk and high payoff alternatives to the conventional investment programs to improve efficiency and lower costs of acquisition, supply management, and distribution.

- (U) Program Achievements and Plans:
- (U) FY 1998: N/A
- (U) FY 1999: N/A
- (U) FY 2000: N/A
- (U) FY 2001: N/A
- B. Program Change Summary:

		COST IN M	TLLTONS	
	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01
President's Budget Submission	0.000	0.000	0.000	7.147
Adjustment to Appropriated Value				-7.147
Current Budget Submission	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Change Summary Explanation: FY 01 reflects 7.1 million reduction in order to fund emerging technology opportunities.

PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program 1	Element:						
TD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			_		CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTA
11: FUTURE LOGISTICS R&D REQUIREMENTS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.274	3.805	Cont	Cont
. Other Program Funding Summary: Non	e									
Quarters evelop Continuing Logistics Technology	/ Plans		FY 9 1234 XXXX		FY 99 1234 XXXX	FY 123		FY 01 1234		

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	1999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program 1	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#12: ON DEMAND MANUFACTURING/CATT	5.783	6.908	0.898	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	13.589

#### A. Mission Description and Justification:

This initiative is necessary to identify and establish commercial manufacturing capabilities so that DLA Centerscan acquire parts as they are needed (on demand) rather than investing in excessive stock, or risking non-availability of essential parts when needed. Contracting relationships will be established to obtain small quantities of military unique items of low demand, with significantly lower costs and greatly improved response time. This is an effort to use private sector manufacturers, in addition to all other measures to obtain parts quickly. In FY98 it builds a program related to the USAF Computer Aided Technology Transfer (CATT) program. CATT establishes a network of companies to produce parts in a very short production lead time with minimum administration.

- (U) Program Achievements and Plans:
- (U) FY 1998:

Advanced tools for identifying ODM candidates were developed.

Tools for macro-grouping fielded based on natural language programming and logic programming.

Begin CATT manufacturing network in Oklahoma.

- (U) FY 1999:
  - Award model contract for ODM buying capability and capacity field tools for ODM division support.
- (U) FY 2000: Continue capacity field tools for ODM division support.
- (U) FY 2001: N/A

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program 1	Flement						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3	,		0603712s		10 DCD ME	armiot oav	DEMONGED	3.0037		
RID&E, Defense-wide/Budget Activity	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0603/125	LOGISTIC	S R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#12: ON DEMAND MANUFACTURING/CATT	5.783	6.908	0.898	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	13.589
B. Program Change Summary:										
b. Flogram Change Dummary.				COST IN	MILLIONS					
			FY 9	8	FY 99	FY	00	FY 01		
President's Budget Submission			5.78	3	0.928	0.9	10	0.947		
Adjustments to Appropriated Value										
					+5.980			-0.947		
Current Budget Submission			5.78		+5.980 6.908	0 0.8		-0.947 0.000		
Current Budget Submission  Change Summary Explanation: FY 99 ne undistributed reductions. FY 00 reflaccommodate higher priority research  D. Schedule Profile:	lects an in		5.78 cts a \$6	million (	6.908	0.8	98 for CAT	0.000 T, and co	-	
Change Summary Explanation: FY 99 ne undistributed reductions. FY 00 refl accommodate higher priority research	lects an in		5.78 cts a \$6	million o	6.908	0.8	98 for CATT	0.000 T, and co	-	
Change Summary Explanation: FY 99 neundistributed reductions. FY 00 reflaccommodate higher priority research	lects an in		5.78 cts a \$6 reduction	million on the state of the sta	6.908 congressi reflects	0.8 onal add : .947 mi	for CATT llionred	0.000 T, and couction in	-	
Change Summary Explanation: FY 99 ne undistributed reductions. FY 00 reflaccommodate higher priority research  D. Schedule Profile:  Quarters Continue work at centers to develop of	lects an in needs.	flation :	5.78 cts a \$6 reduction	million on the state of the sta	6.908  congressi  reflects	0.8 onal add s.947 mi	for CATT llionred	0.000 T, and couction in	-	
Change Summary Explanation: FY 99 ne undistributed reductions. FY 00 reflaccommodate higher priority research  D. Schedule Profile:  Quarters  Continue work at centers to develop of vehicles with industry	lects an in needs.	flation :	5.78 cts a \$6 reduction FY 9 1234 XXX	million on FY 01	6.908  congressi  reflects	0.8 onal add s.947 mi	for CATT llionred	0.000 T, and couction in	-	
Change Summary Explanation: FY 99 ne undistributed reductions. FY 00 reflaccommodate higher priority research  D. Schedule Profile:  Quarters Continue work at centers to develop of	lects an in needs.	flation :	5.78 cts a \$6 reduction FY 9 1234	million on FY 01	6.908  congressi  reflects	0.8 onal add s.947 mi	for CATT llionred	0.000 T, and couction in	-	

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	1999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	RATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#13: GULF COAST MARITIME	2.884	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.884

#### A. Mission Description and Justification:

The Gulf Coast Center continued its research, application, and demonstration responsibilities in this effort. The program continued to support industrial, Navy, Defense Advanced Research Project Agency, and Department of Defense initiatives and priorities. The Gulf coast Center continued to jointly develop projects with industrial partners such as Mobile Offshore Base, the CVX, a portfolio for Ship Designs, and other maritime technology demonstration projects.

- (U) FY 1998: Simulation Based Design efforts at the Gulf coast Region Maritime Technology Center.
- \*Awarded new contract to Gulf Coast Center to continue Simulation Based Design activities.
- \*Maintained state-of-the-art simulated based design with virtual reality technologies
- \*Maintained open, scalable architecture compatible with HLA requirements established by DoD.
- \*Established state-of-the-art communications networks to ensure remote site access to the SBD resources as well as distribution to remote sites.
- \*Assisted industry, government and academic partners in development of prototype systems related to SBD.
- \*Starting to establish a collaborative design and engineering environment such that optimization of multiple functional parameters, including performance, manufacture, operations, logistics, training, cost, and schedule can be performed.

  \*Continued to jointly develop projects with industrial partners such as Mobile Offshore Base, support to the CVX, and
- other maritime technology demonstration projects.

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program	Element.						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			_		CS R&D TE	CHNOT OGV	DEMONGTE	ΔTΩN		
Ribus, belease wide, budget Activity 3	1		00037125	HOGIDII	CD RGD IE	CIMOLOGI	DEMONDIN	AION	1	
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#13: GULF COAST MARITIME	2.884	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.88
Adjustment to Appropriated Value			+2.88	-						
Adjustment to Appropriated Value Current Budget Submission Change Summary Explanation: FY 98 \$2. reductions) transferred from DARPA to		ase refl	2.88 ects (\$3.		0.000 n Congres	0.0 sional a		0.000 undistri	buted	
Current Budget Submission Change Summary Explanation: FY 98 \$2.		ase refl	ects (\$3.	0 millio	n Congres	sional a	dd, less	undistri	buted	
Current Budget Submission  Change Summary Explanation: FY 98 \$2. reductions) transferred from DARPA to  D. Schedule Profile:		ase refl	ects (\$3. FY 9	0 millio	n Congres	sional a	dd, less	undistri	buted	
Current Budget Submission  Change Summary Explanation: FY 98 \$2.  reductions) transferred from DARPA to		ase refl	ects (\$3.	0 millio	n Congres	sional a	dd, less	undistri	buted	

### FY 2000-2001 BIENNIAL BUDGET REVIEW

RDT&E BUDGET PROJECT JUSTIFICATION SHE	ET (R-2a	Exhibit)	DATE: F	EBRUARY 1	1999					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY:			Program	Element:						
RTD&E, Defense-Wide/Budget Activity 3			0603712s	LOGISTIC	CS R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	RATON		
COST (MILLIONS)	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
#14: DEFENSE MICROELECTRONICS ACTIVITY	9.507	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	9.507

#### A. Mission Description and Justification:

DMEA's mission is to leverage advanced technologies to extend the life of weapon systems. DMEA is the Executive Agent for DoD Integrated Circuit (IC) Microelectronics Diminishing Manufacturing Sources and Material Shortages (DMSMS). As such, DMEA has identified a set of applies research projects that evaluate the feasibility and practicality of some candidate solutions for a broad class of microelectronic components that are strategically important toDoD. DMEA's RDT&E program is comprised for a mix of studies, investigations and planning efforts for developing solutions to the technological challenges of emerging microcircuit obsolescence using leading-edge microelectronics technology.

- (U) Program Accomplishments and Plans:
- (U) FY 1998: Develop a methodology for replacing highly complex microcircuits using VHDL, modern synthesis tools, and silicon foundry resources to achieve FFF replacements, minimizing the design methodologies and processes to emulate digital logic, analog, mixed signal and power microelectronic components. Develop and evaluate Virtual Enterprise technology for interfaces, technology-class solutions, and data management and configuration control. Applies to a wide range of systems e.g., F-22, B-2, AWACS, F-16, F-15, F-14, GPS, USQ-113, JAST, MAST, EA-6B, M-65, AN/TSC-93B and AN/GSC-49(V).
- B. Program Change Summary: FY 98 increase of \$9.507 reflects \$10.0 million congressional add less \$.493 million in congressional undistributed reductions transferred from DARPA to DLA.

		COST IN M	ILLIONS	
	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01
President's Budget Submission	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Adjustment to Appropriated Value	+9.507			
Current Budget Submission	9.507	0.000	0.000	0.000

		rrogram.	Element:						
3		_		S R&D TE	CHNOLOGY	DEMONSTR	ATON		
FY 98	FY 99	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	COST TO COMP	TOTAL
Y 9.507	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	9.50
o funding	dependend	cies on o	ther prog	grams.					
				FY 99			FY 00		
		1234 X		1234	123	4	1234		
			x						
				XX					
					YY				
				44		x			
	Y 9.507	9.507 0.000	9.507 0.000 0.000  o funding dependencies on c  FY 9  1234  X	9.507 0.000 0.000 0.000  o funding dependencies on other progress  FY 98 1234	9.507 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 o funding dependencies on other programs.  FY 98 FY 99 1234 1234 X	PY 9.507 0.000 0.0	PY 9.507 0.000 0.0	PY 9.507 0.000 0.0	TO COMP TY 9.507 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000  of unding dependencies on other programs.  FY 98 FY 99 FY 00 FY 00 1234 1234 1234 1234  X  X  XX  XX  XX  XX  XX  XX  XX  XX